

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. ROX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/481,988	01/11/2000	PAUL J. BRUINSMA	1941-70	6422
20575 MARGER 1	7590 06/25/2003 JOHNSON & MCCOLI	OM PC	EXAMINER	
1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205		MARCANTONI, I		NI, PAUL D
<u>-</u> .	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	35
		DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/481,988	BRUINSMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Paul Marcantoni	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>						
· ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>129-188</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>129-188</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	4					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applica	ation).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *					
Attachment(s)			ĺ			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·			

Application/Control Number: 09/481,988

Art Unit: 1755

The reopening of prosecution was deemed necessary for the reasons to follow. Further, the allowability of claims 129-187 has been withdrawn until applicants satisfy the conditions required below prior to allowability of these claims. Also, claim 188 is now rejected for the same reasons that previous product claims were rejected in applicants' attempts to have product claims added. No product claims will be allowed for the reasons cited below and those cited in previous office actions. It is respectfully requested that applicants refrain from presenting any further product claims because they cannot and will not be allowed as part of this or any re-issue application wherein they are being recaptured.

Improper Presentation (Again) of Product Claims:

Claim 188 is rejected under 35 USC 251 as being an improper recapture of the claimed subject matter deliberately canceled in the application for the patent upon which the present re-issue is based and a reissue applicant's failure to file a divisional application is not considered to be an error causing a patent granted on elected claims to be partially inoperative by reason of claiming less than the applicant had a right to claim. Thus, such error is not correctable by re-issue of the original patent under 35 USC 251. In re Watkinson, 900 F2d 230, 14 USPQ2d 1407 (Fed Cir. 1990); In re Orita, 550 F2d 1277, 1280, 193 USPQ 145, 148 (CCPA 1977) See also In re Mead, 581 F2d 251, 198 USPQ 412 (CCPA 1978) See MPEP 1450-51. Cancellation of claim 188 is thus requested.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,988

.___

Art Unit: 1755

Improper Amendment submitted 4/14/03 adding new claims 129-188

The amendment submitted April 14, 2003 is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.173 (d). Specifically, the newly presented claims have not been underlined in their entirety. All markings should be done relative to the claims in the patent. Please see MPEP 1453. Also, note that 37 CFR 1.173 (c) requires that whenever there is an amendment to the claims, there must also be supplied, on pages separate from the pages containing the changes, an explanation of the support in the disclosure of the patent for the changes made to the claims. Applicants can resolve this easily for all original claims by identifying which claim corresponds to the original claims and further for the new claims to explain where they derive support for these new claims from their original disclosure. This has been requested to ascertain that applicants have complied with rule 37 CFR 1.173 (c).

Supplemental Reissue Oath/Declaration Required:

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. (See also MPEP 1444 and 1414.01)

Claims 129-188 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath or declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth as follows: The applicants' claims have been amended significantly and the errors that applicant relied upon in the original reissue oath/declaration are no longer applicable to the present claims. The applicants are respectfully requested to present a

Application/Control Number: 09/481,988

Art Unit: 1755

new oath/declaration recites the appropriate error(s) which are being corrected. (See MPEP 1414).

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Consent of Assignee for Re-issue:

This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

Missing Information Disclosure Statement:

All references cited in the original patent must be cited in the re-issue application. It is respectfully requested applicants provide a proper information disclosure statement listing all the references cited in the original patent (as well as any others they are aware of) for consideration by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-

1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the applicants may also consider contacting the Special Programs Examiner, Christine Skane Tierney at (703) 308-2526.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (ie Tech Center 1700) are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755